

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	1	- T		1	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	3	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,483	12/31/2003		Michael S. Collins	ZIM0391	1206
John F. Hoffma	7590 1 an, Esa.	EXAMINER			
BAKER & DA		RAMANA, ANURADHA			
Suite 800 111 East Wayn	e Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Wayne, IN 46802				3733	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/749,483	COLLINS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anu Ramana	3733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	October 2007.	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,8-18 and 21-26 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,8-18 and 21-26 is/are rejecte 7) Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 12/31/03 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected he drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least company to the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

10/749,483 Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 31, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-4, 8-16 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's disclosure does not show how their device meets the limitations, "the male portion and the female portion being directly engageable in self-locking taper relationship to couple the components together" and "whereby the sleeve allows.....taper relationship." In Fig. 3, a sleeve 32 is shown on stem 18. However, it is unclear how the first and second component would be coupled together after removal of the sleeve in a direct self-locking taper relationship without a gap between the tapers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

10/749,483 Art Unit: 3733

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-4, 8-11, 14-16, 21-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meulink (US 6,863,692).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Meulink discloses a modular orthopedic implant including: a first component or "provisional implant component" or "actual implant component" 4 with a female taper portion 6; a second component or "provisional implant component" or "actual implant component" with a male junction element 12; and a sleeve 14 that allows the components to be locked temporarily together as well as in direct self-locking taper relationship.

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claims 17, 18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Averill et al. (US 4,921,500).

10/749,483 Art Unit: 3733

Averill et al. disclose a modular orthopedic implant having a femoral stem or "first component" 10 with a male junction element 18 and a femoral head component or "second component" 20 with a female junction element 32 and an adaptor or "hollow sleeve" 40 with grooves 66 extending circumferentially around the outer surface 52 of the sleeve that maintain the first and second components in an assembled condition wherein the stem and head components are made of a biocompatible metal (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 16-68, col. 4 and col. 5, lines 1-51).

The method steps of claims 17, 18 and 25 are inherently performed when the Averill et al. modular implant is assembled together by a surgeon by choosing suitably sized components from any one of several femoral head and femoral stem components (col. 3, lines 37-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meulink (US 6,863,692) in view of McLean (US 2002/0116068).

Meulink discloses all the elements of the claimed invention except for the use of a polymer or metal to construct either the male or the female junction elements.

McLean teaches the use of synthetic materials such as metals, ceramics or plastics to construct prosthetic components (para [0053]).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the Meulink components of metal and polymer, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known

10/749,483 Art Unit: 3733

material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments submitted under "REMARKS" in the response filed on October 31, 2007 have been fully considered. Applicants' arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection in this action.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The allowability of claims 17-18, 22 and 25 is being withdrawn due to the new rejections made in this action. The Examiner sincerely apologizes for any inconvenience caused to the Applicants by this action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/749,483 Art Unit: 3733 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR December 10, 2007

> ANURADHA RAMINER ANURADHA EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER 3700 PRIMARY EXAMINER 3700